DIRECTOPATE OF OPERATIONS C-O-N-F-I-D-E-K-T-I-A-L 25X1A DCD REPORT NO. COUNTRY DATE DISTR. US-USSR Cooperation in the Field SUBJECT of Science Policy/Manpower Training NO. PAGES and Utilization 25X1A REFERENCES DATE OF INFO. November 1973 PLACE & D SOURCE

25X1X

1. The meeting was more successful in regard to the series of understandings reached than one might have expected. The Soviet side came prepared to reach an accord and would have "underfulfilled" their plan had they failed to do so. The opening session was cordial and at the end, scmewhat blunt, in the indication by Yevgeniy Ivanovich Sklyarov, Section Thief, USBR State Committee for Science and Technology, that defense matters would have to be left out; nonetheless, in private, he later added that perhaps in the future—and depending on the success of this phase of work—it might be possible to expand the scope.

- 2. Toward the end of the discussions, Sklyarov also indicated that he would have a selling job to do when he returned, an indirect reference to the conservatism of the Central Statistical Administration and perhaps other organizations. It was felt, nonetheless, by all Soviets with whom the natter was discussed, that a positive result would ensue.
- 3. At the meetings on manpower training and utilization (Subgroup III), the Soviets appeared to be willing to offer more data but, unfortunately, the US representatives who handle such data indicated that the US side could not furnish similar details. Vitaliy Ivanovich Krutov, Chief of Scientific Organization Department, USSR Academy of Sciences, was very agreeable and forthcoming, even to the point of offering detailed specialty data which would be many inches thick. Semen R Mikulinskiy, Deputy Director of the Institute of Science and Technical Mistory, USSR Academy of Sciences, is the co-chitor of a new series of books--with D M Gvishiani and Samuel A Kugel', on scientific cadres, for

CONTROLLED DISSEM

CONTROLLED DISSEM ABROAD

U.O. 1.7 establishes the controls for the dissemination and use of the intelligence and intelligence information in this region. The dissemination of the intermediate for intelligence and other enteringence components of the USB member agencies and on this second in a finite discount in finite discount in the intermediate and on the intermediate and of the second in a control of the intermediate and of the production of the departments and organizer of the U.S. Generoment directly partial art plan the production of the intermediate and of the intermediate and organizer of the production of the intermediate and organizer or the intermediate and organizer or the intermediate and organizer or the

example, and appeared to be knowledgethle and to have no less to Ms fingertipe. Along other items of information rease (steel. rline 'modity in loaded that only five-seven thousand of the 1980 have rabotniki scientific workers (927, 709 in 1970) do not work in R and .: of the 1,700, 3 scientists and engineers employed in the national economy, some 55 thousand are employed in R and D. Of the 1.7 million, cone 37-15 express which in history superioral institutions: some 44.-45 percent in wer mical sciences (come, or errore, ore in vuny), but the referrity are medievel in branch research organizations (HII), engaged in work up to ma inch ling protatyres and distributes for plants; some 3.0 percent are entried in fundamental science research in the UNER Academy of Sciences, and 3.5 percent in the union republic academies. The teachers of higher educational union republic academies. institutions, some 50 percent of their time is spent on teaching, some 50 percent on metholology and research. One could say that about one-third of the teachers are on a jed in fundamental research.

The Soviets were asked to dia ram the cut-off point for R and D as it is used in the NUSR. Mikulinski, together with Mrutov, drew the following scheme using Eussian, as shown:

(III.5) Production - - (produktsiya)

Prototyre = -(onythyy obratets) Evaluation - - (otsenha resultative opytov)

PAD Testing - - (ogytnaya proverka) (III.4) Develorment - - (representia) Applied research - - (pullthe inoye issledovaniye) Basic (fundamental) research - - fundamental noye issledovaniye)

As drawn, all items except production are defined as R and D, and will be related to point III.4; rangewer employed in non-R and D activities (production) are covered by III.5. [Refer to the joint agreement]

There was concern that the nonappearance of Ye Ye <u>Grishaver</u>, who heads the State Committee for Science and Technology's (CLE) finance department, and the substitution of Vandimir Ivanovich Maslennikov for him, indicated a downplaying of the whole exercise. It was directly stated to a UD participant that Grishayev is still the head of the Financing R and D subgroup, and only his doctor refused to let him come at the present time. The meeting of the Joint Commission in Moscow on 28 Movember 1973 should be revealing as to the future potential of this particular meeting.

comment: The agreement, or program of work, for each subgroup of the Joint US/USSR Working Group on Science Policy is available in CES Central Libraries Division, Document Services Branch.



25X1A

25X1A

- end -

OPERATIONS

Intelligence Information Report

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espianage Laws, Title 18, U.S.C. Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

25X1A

COUNTRY USSR

DCD REPORT NO.

SUBJECT Soviet Science Policy/Institute of US DATE DISTR. Studies/State Committee for Science and Technology's Grip on R & D Funding/Planning Transition to 15 NO. PAGES Year Plan/Spending on R & D/Mechanism for Competition/Need for Foreign Technology/Makeup REFERENCES of Academy of Sciences/Overseas Patenting/ Scientific Personnel and Salaries

DATE OF INFO PLACE & DAT

SOURCE

25X1X

SUMMARY - Georgiy A Arbatov, Director of the Institute of US Studies in Moscow, briefed a group of US scientists in July 1973 on the Soviet science policy. He explained the differing responsibilities in the USSR for basic as opposed to applied research and said that the State Committee for Science and Technology (GKNT) held a grip on all R & D funds. Arbatov in his briefing explained the transition from 5 to 15 year planning to include the entire economy. He said that in the past the Soviet economy had a built-in market, but now the situation was changing to a buyer's economy. Subsidies are used to stimulate consumer acceptance and new investments are tied closely to new R & D results. In some cases where research costs are high, the Soviet system may decide it is cheaper to buy foreign technology. Arbatov explained that various stimuli are used to create competition in the USSR.

Arbatov reviewed the history of the Academy of Sciences and explained the role of the Presidium of the Academy in review of projects. Recently, the Soviets have begun intensifying patent activities overseas. He also explained that the Academy has forecasted technical manpower needs through the year 2000, and has found that there is now a competition between the social and technical disciplines. He further explained the distinctions between various professional degrees and the system of wages, prices, and bonuses.

1. In July 1973, a delegation of US scientists of the Industrial Research Institute (USA) Inc visited scientific and research institutes in the USSR. the fo

2722-307-

Classified by 007522. Exempt from general declassification schedule of E.O. 11552. Exemption category 58(2).

25X1X

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

-2-

25X1X

2. At the Institute of US Studies in Moscow,

Georgiy Arkad'yevich <u>Arbatov</u>, Director Vladimir N <u>Krestyanov</u>, <u>Scientific</u> Secretary Vladimir <u>Spichkin</u>, <u>Secretary</u> for Scientific-Technologic Revolution Inna <u>Sheydina</u>, <u>Assistant</u> to Arbatov

- 3. Arbatov described his Institute and the Academy of Sciences and the Academy's relation to the State Committee of Science and Technology (GKNT) which he said "had a grip on all Research and Development (R & D) funds (including those of the Academy of Science) in the USSR." Arbatov said basic research is carried on in the Academy of Sciences, and applied research is carried on in the Ministries, and development in the plants. He said the Institute is trying to improve R & D planning by extending the Soviet Five Year Plan to a Fifteen Year Plan by 1976 and incorporating R & D in it by using technological forecasting. The new system will include plans for the entire economy and R & D will be a part of it. This new approach to planning is in the preliminary stage and is being done by the GKNT and the Academy of Sciences. They feel that they have not spent enough on development (1/3) in relation to research (2/3) in the past. Arbatov said that personally he feels the ratio should be changed by spending more on development and by improving the transfer of technology. He said competition exists in the USSR, but there is no secrecy between competitive groups.
- 4. Arbatov said that in the past they had a "built-in" market for products. He feels now the economy is changing gradually from a seller's to a buyer's market. Some plants can now produce a product no one buys; this overproduction is on a small scale to date. Arbatov admitted they have problems in increasing consumption of new technology, but that they can use pricing (subsidy) to stimulate or control consumer acceptance. They are also tying in new investments closely with new R & D results. They also now are looking at specialization domestically and are thinking about international agreements which will permit research specialization in different countries to reduce the cost of duplicate research. Then when they look at the high cost of research they will consider that it may be cheaper to buy technology elsewhere.
- 5. In discussing the mechanism which stimulates competition in the USSR, Arbatov very clearly stated that because of external forces the USSR had elected to go it alone in defense. The USSR, on the other hand, has had long standing agreements with some of its allies for specialized machinery. Arbatov said the fact the USSR had elected to go it alone in defense should not obscure an inevitable trend toward international cooperation in other areas in his opinion. In internal competition in a plant, performance plays the key role and determines: (a) job tenure, and (b) salary/bonus.
- 6. The Academy of Sciences, according to Arbatov, has approximately 50 thousand professionals working in over one hundred institutes mostly on basic (fundamental) research. The most distinguished of these professionals hold the rank of corresponding members, and above this rank are the academicians. There are approximately four hundred corresponding members and 240 academicians. From the full group of corresponding members and academicians (this group is the main power of the Academy), 25 people form the Presidium. This Presidium meets frequently and reviews not only administrative matters but also technical developments. The budget of the Academy is approximately six to seven billion rubles per year. Breakthroughs can be funded by the Academy or by the GKNT and the Academy working together, to form a new unit in a Ministry, a new Institute, or a new Department in an Institute.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

-3-

- 7. Research in universities is used primarily for teaching. In Novosibirsk, showever, they are working on combining the Academies of Sciences and the universities, and building up applied research and development organizations around the center. Arbatov referred to this as like "route 128 or Stanford."
- 8. Arbatov pointed out that the Paton Welding Institute (which is part of the Academy of Sciences) in Kiev is unique since it goes completely from research through development and small scale production. Host development, however, is performed in the Production Ministries or at the laboratories of the larger plants in these Ministries.
- 9. Arbatov pointed out that the Soviets were now intensifying their overseas patent activities. He said he felt that the USSR previously had paid too little attention to patents and said the absence of trade with the US was one reason. He said they are beginning to patent vigorously.
- 10. The Institute of the USA, according to Arbatov, was established about five years ago and does long term studies on trends in the US, to determine how the USSR might be affected or get involved (e.g., the energy crisis). The Institute makes forecasts of what should be possible; its main subjects are foreign policy, science, trade, and technology.
- 11. In planning for technical manpower needs, and also for labor needs, Arbatov said they try to forecast. Such forecast have been made to the year 2000. He explained there is a trend away from science and there is now a competition between the social and technical disciplines.
- 12. Salaries in the Soviet Union are based on the position held and the degree level of the individual. The candidate degree in the USSR is somewhat equivalent, but less than a PhD in the US. A doctorate degree in the USSR usually requires six or seven years work beyond the candidate degree and those with doctorate degrees are paid about one-third more than those with candidate degrees occupying the same positions. The spread between a beginning scientist and the head of an institute (a top academician) is about 10 to 1. In the Academy of Sciences, institute directors are scientists, and deputy directors are administrators.
- 13. Arbatov explained that in the Soviet organizational hierarchy, state committees are slightly higher than ministries; Vladimir . Alekseyevich Kirillin, who as Chairman of the State Committee for Science and Technology, is one of the highest ranking Soviet officials. Arbatov explained further that at a certain point salary for Soviet scientists becomes unimportant, and prizes and recognition by the government were scientists' main motivations. Savings cannot be invested. Income tax is a maximum of 13 per cent. Rents are lou; Arbatov, for example, pays 30 rubles per month (US\$45) for his flat. A three room hotel suite rents for 7.5 rubles per day. Education, health care, and retirement are provided free by the State. Thus, there is no simple basis for comparability of scientists in the US and USSR.

- end -